

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,339	11/07/2003	Daniel Thomas Jones	1-24874	1978	
4859	7590 01/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC			SIMONE, CATHERINE A		
ONE MARITIME PLAZA FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OH 43604-1619		OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/692,339	JONES, DANIEL	THOMAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Catherine Simone	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	laress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	ctober 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-8,15,16 and 18-25 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,15,16 and 18-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Repeated Rejections

1. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection of claims 1-8, 15, 16 and 18-25 as anticipated by Rolston is repeated for the reasons previously set forth in the Office Action mailed 8/8/05, Pages 3-4, Paragraph #4.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues, "there is simply no disclosure whatsoever contained in the Rolston reference that the grooved body 52 can or should be formed from a reinforcement resin material, as specifically recited in Claim 1". However, it is to be pointed out that Rolston discloses fiber-reinforced resinous articles (see col. 1, lines 5-7 and see claims 1-8). Also, in Figure 3 of Rolston, the plastic grooved body 52 supports the two reinforced fiber layers 48 and 50. Therefore, the plastic grooved body 52 in Rolston is clearly a layer of a reinforcement resin material. Thus, Rolston clearly teaches a layer of a reinforcement resin material as recited in claim 1.

Applicant further argues that "it is clear that the grooved body 52 functions to define passageways through resin flow and is not a reinforcement resin material, as specifically recited in Claim 1". Again, it is to be pointed out that Rolston discloses fiber-reinforced resinous articles (see col. 1, lines 5-7 and see claims 1-8). Also, in Figure 3 of Rolston, the plastic grooved body 52 supports the two reinforced fiber layers 48 and 50. Therefore, the plastic grooved body 52 in

Application/Control Number: 10/692,339

Art Unit: 1772

Rolston is clearly a layer of a reinforcement resin material. Thus, Rolston clearly teaches a layer of a reinforcement resin material as recited in claim 1.

Furthermore, Applicant argues that "similarly, there is no disclosure whatsoever contained in the Rolston reference that the grooved body 52 has an inherent tack that holds the fibrous reinforcement material in place, as also specifically recited in independent Claim 1". However, it is to be pointed out in Figure 3 of the Rolston reference that the plastic grooved body 52 is placed in contact with the fiber layers 48 and 50 and the channels 54 formed in the body 52 are held in constant communication with the interior surfaces of the fiber mats 48 and 50 throughout their length (see col. 4, lines 29-38 and col. 6, lines 1-7). Therefore, the grooved plastic body 52 in Rolston clearly has an inherent tack that holds the fibrous reinforcement material in place. Thus, Rolston clearly teaches a layer of a reinforcement resin material having an inherent tack that holds the fibrous reinforcement material in place as recited in claim 1.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1772

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571)272-1501. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine A. Simone Examiner Art Unit 1772 December 27, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

′